GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~460~~ 1894-5

(Bellari)

File No. 76

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month

SUBJECT.

Prohibiting the institution of Suits for Pujari rights in the civil courts of the Province

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	64 - 95
	Mng I= 4-1899
	" 254- 1911
	38 - 96

No. C. 25—34, DATED CAMP MYSORE, 14TH MARCH 1895.

ORDER.—The Government resolve to re-publish for general information and guidance the following Circular Order No. 30. dated the 26th October 1854, issued by the late Commissioner Lieutenant-General Sir Mark Cubbon regarding suits for Pujari rights.

2. Appeals from the Amildar's decisions in such cases now lie to the District Muzrai Officer and the Deputy Commissioner, against whose decisions final appeals lie to the Government in the Muzrai Department.

A. SREENIVASSACHARLU,
Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
Palace Controller, Mysore
Registrar, Chief Court

No. 30. MYSORE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE

CIRCULAR.

To

The Superintendents of Divisions.

SIR,

I have the honor by desire of the Commissioner to request that you will have the goodness to cause the necessary instructions to be issued to the Amildars, prohibiting the institution of suits for Pujari rights in the Courts of your Division, but that the Amildars may enquire into such disputes * * and decide them, and that these decisions may be appealed against to the Commissioner's Huzur where the Muzrai affairs are managed.

I have the honor, &c,

F. CUNNINGHAM,
Secretary.

BANGALORE,
26th October 1854.

Pxd.—C. P. S.

1895.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated Camp Mysore, 14th March 1895.

No. C. 25—34.

Re-publishing for general information and guidance the late Commissioner's Circular Order prohibiting the institution of suits for Pujari rights in the Civil Courts of the Province.

Government Branch Press, Mysore,—14-3-95:—120

1895
Murasaki

~~No. 459 - 6712~~

~~Dy. 26 Aug. 1894.~~

~~H2. 98~~

No. C. - 5 - 34.

Dy 14th March 95.

Order.

The Govt resolve to publish for general information and guidance the following circular Order No 30 Dy 20th Oct 1854 issued by the late ~~Govt~~ Govt. of Queen Sir Frank Cubbon regarding suits for Pagoda Reports. ~~Appeals~~

2. Appeals from the Amildar's decisions in such Cases now lie to the Dy Commissioner Officer and the

MUZARAI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

Muzarai F. No.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—12-1-93.—1,000.

No 30 Mysore Commissioners Office

Circular

To.

The Superintendents of Divisions.

Sir

I have the honor by desire of the Commissioner to request that you will have the goodness to cause the necessary instructions to be issued to the Amildars, prohibiting the institution of Suits for Pujari rights in the Courts of your Division, but that the Amildars may enquire into such disputes with a Punchayet, and decide them, and that these decisions may be appealed against to the Commissioners Huzur where the Muzrai affairs are managed

Initialled JC

Initalled
M C

Bangalore
26th October 1852

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Muzrai),—dated 26th November 1894.

No. 459-67, DATED, BANGALORE, 26TH NOVEMBER 1894.

ORDER.—The Government resolve to re-publish for general information the following Circular Order No. 30, dated 26th October 1854, issued by the late ~~Chief~~ Commissioner Lt.-Gen. Sir Mark Cubbon.

A. SREENIVASSACHARLU,
Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts
The Palace Controller, Mysore.

MYSORE CHIEF COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
Bangalore, 26th October 1854.

CIRCULAR No. 30.

To

The Superintendents of Divisions.

SIR,

I have the honor by desire of the Commissioner to request that you will have the goodness to cause the necessary instructions to be issued to the Amildars, prohibiting the institution of suits for Pujari rights in the Courts of your Division, but that the Amildars may enquire into such disputes * * *, and decide them; and that these decisions may be appealed against to the Commissioner's Huzur where the Muzrai affairs are managed.

I have the honor, &c.,

F. CUNNINGHAM,
Secretary.

Exed.—K.S.

Mysore Commissioners Office

Circular

To.

The Superintendent &c

Bangalore Division

Sir,

I have the honor by desire of the Commissioners, to request that you will have the goodness to cause the necessary instructions to be issued to the Amildars, prohibiting the institution of suits for Poojaree rights in the Courts of your Division; but that the Amildars may, ~~require~~ enquire into such disputes with a Punchayet, and decide them, and that these decisions may be appealed against to the Commissioner's Honor:

where the Moogray affairs are managed.

I have the honor to be &c

Sgd H. Cunningham

Secretary

Bangalore
24th October 1854.

True Copy
Sgd by Actings

Supt Bangalore Division

Memorandum.

I beg to state that this is another Case of Poopary much in which a Judicial Decree has been issued and fees imposed If the Commissioner concurs in the opinion I expressed on the Petitions from Mudhicowa Chetty and Vanee Veera Chetty the Superintendent may be requested to remove from his Judicial File the aforementioned Case, and remit the Fees. The Proceedings will be sent for in the usual way, and the opinion of the Duftar on the Case shall be submitted for Orders.

Dated 18th August

Baugalore
23rd August 1854

A. J. C. Kristnamah
Asst M. Cubbon

Copy

2627-

State that in their appeal addressed to the Khanum they explained in full their right against the Poojary Shiv of Tujamiya Kleinsteam of Mucklegherrain, and now add that the Sheristadt Court has passed an unjust Decree that the Respondent in his original appeal Mr Jacobs had that he had also the privilege of performing the Poojah and to enjoy one half of the allowances granted to them (Appellants) that they (Appellants) have in their possession the Sunnuds of Perwanna Poorniah and His Highness the

Wish to confirm their just rights
to H. Poojah, which they have
performed from the year Sidhharty
1777 that of the these Samuels
had been produced before
the original and appeal Courts,
yet without paying any attention
to it but on the contrary of
it. Pleaded to the effect that
the first and Reason Contended
further that there in the Poojary
Sift of they had submitted,
were the Calcutta Court the Kuran
and ~~the~~ Rajeswarah, which was
given to them by the Respondent,
confirming his Right to the Poojary
Sift and also the Decree of the
original and appeal Courts not-

Proceedings of the Government of His H.H.-

notwithstanding which, the Adawlut

Court passed a decision to the

effect that the Respondent alone

is a real Claimant to the Poojah

& agreeably to the last Kowfs.

issued in 1836 rejecting the Deeds

of the said Original and Appeal

Courts that they (Appellants) are

ignorant of the reasons by which

the Adawlut Court pronounced

this unjust Decree after examining

the Kurrus and other Papers exhibited

by the Respondents that they therefore

pray the Khanmind after a reference

to all these Papers grant a just

and impartial decision on the

Subject.

Report

Repeat the Contents
of the ~~is~~ foregoing Mrgee. Pp 695 on the
Subject of the disputes between them
(Applicants) and Respondent Pattoo
Krishna Chary relative to the unjust
decision passed by the Adawlut
Court, by rejecting their Petition
naming the Respondent as a proper
Claimant to it, and moreover stating,
that the Respondent ~~presented~~
presented an Urzee to the Adawlut
Court, requesting that the Pusheck
and other privileges of the Poojah
should be made over to him (Respondent)
and in Compliance thereto, orders
were issued from thence to give the
same to the Respondent, that therefore
therefore

therefore they pray the Council will be
pleased to issue orders to the several
Court to cancel the orders which
sent to the Respondent and the
case might be
by the Council
[illegible]
[illegible]
opinion of the Court
that is the Courts about to
such as arise connected to the mode
of making Parish in Demerara
not come within the jurisdiction
of the Civil Courts in as much as
it is the Revising Barrister's duty
of Village Accounts. These disputes
should be decided in the Revising
Department. Besides, the admission
all these Kinds of trifling disputes
disputes

disputes touch the number of
Seals in the Court and exhibits
the amount of Litigation to be
spread before the ~~Court~~ Commissioners
of forms of this nature of the practice
a Circular may be sent to the Divisions
prohibiting the institution of Suits
for Property rights with Courts
and stating that the Auditor
should enquire into such disputes,
with a Panchayet, and decide
them, and that these decisions
are appealable to the Commissioners
Hyderabad where the Mortgages
affairs are managed.

28/C. Kistnamah

18th August 1864

28/H. Cockburn

"Copy"

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore. Musrai

Order No. 457–67
Dated Bangalore 26th November 1894.

The Government resolve to re-publish for general information the following Circular Order No. 30 dated 26th October 1864 issued by the late Chief Commissioner ~~Lt-Col~~ Lt-Genl Sir Mark Cubbon.

C. Venkata Rao
Secretary.

To
The Deputy Commissioners
of Districts
Palace Controller, Mysore
~~Compiler Mysore Gazette for publication~~

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office
Circular No. 30.

To.

The Superintendents of Divisions

Sir,

I have the honor by desire

of the Commissioner to request, that you will have the goodness to cause the necessary instructions to be issued to the Amildars, prohibiting the institution of suits for Poojari rights in the Courts of your Division, but that the Amildars may enquire into such disputes × × ×, and decide them, and that these decisions may be appealed against, to the Commissioner's Huzoor where the Murrai affairs are managed.

I have the honor &c

(Signed). F. Cunningham

Secretary.

Bangalore

26th October 1854

1894.

Government of Mysore.

Proceedings

Mysore.

Dated 26th November

No. 459-67

Wrgnt

Please send proof to Secretariat Translator.

G. Venkatesiah Translator

V.

GOVERNMENT PRESS
856
26 NOV. 94

very Wrgnt

Re-publishing the late Chief Commissioner's Circular order prohibiting the institution of suits for Pujari rights in the Civil Courts of the Province.

Dewan's Office
Mysore Dec 26
120 Copies
Proof to Secretariat.

Not printed
Issued
Vide C.
26 31

1906-07

1259

From D. R. Adams Asst Esq, B.A.B.S. Coddiwomple Kennels Bassalaw

To L. Constantinis Asst Esquire B.A.

Dated Mongrove 29/8/06

Despatched Secretary

Received 30/8/06 Bassalaw

General No. 82

No.

Enclosure No.

V. -S.

Please send at once

81

30/8/06

Sir

I have the honor to request that a copy of the Closed Commission made here on date the 26-10-1854 proclaiming

probably during the Civil War
from talking cognizance of
deeds relating to Surgare
rights may be kindly sent
to this Court before 17-9-06
for reference in O.S. for 25-9-06 or 9/
on their behalf of this Court
Any other that may be necessary
for the preparation of the
copy will be sent if desired

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Registrar

Additional Secretary

Mul 9

Mur 14]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

190 --190

ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Additional Munsiff
of Bangalore

Dated 5th September 1906

Despatched

Received

General No. 1533

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Adverting to his letter No 82 dated the 29th Aug 06 has the honor to forward herewith a Printed copy of Govt Pro. No 36-44 dated the 8th July 1901, regarding the disposal of suits relating to Pujari rights

2. The Chief Commissioners Notification of Oct 1854

GPB—3,000—21-7-06

referred

referred [illegible] the letter cited
above. [illegible]

RK 5/9/66

CK

Refers G.R.S. 31]

ls
24/4/07

No. J 3244



GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated Bangalore, 23rd April 190 7

From

K. S. CHANDRASEKHARA AIYAR, ESQ., B.A., P.I

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments

To

L. Anantasami Rao, Esquire, B.A.,

Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

SIR,

I am directed to request that the file containing the Mysore Commissioner's Circular No. 30 dated the 26th October 1854 regarding suits for Pujari rights, which was sent to the Muzrai Secretariat on 30th June 1892, may be forwarded to this Office for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Govt.

K.R.

GPB.-2 000—23-1-07

615

[illegible]

190

From

To The Secretary to the Govt
of H.H the Maharaja of Elyssae

Dated General & Rev. Depts.

Despatched 18 6 07

Received

5366

Enclosure No.

Sir,

In reference to your
Letter no J 3244 D 23d
April 907 requesting that
the Elyssae Commissioners
Cir no 30 D the 26th October
1854 re the Suits for Pujam rights
may be forwarded for reference
I am directed to State
that the Circular referred to
was sent to Judicial Branch

GPB—8,000—21 7 03

Mysore

V. S

G.R S. 31]

5600
12.6.07

No. J 3693

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highnsss the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore in the Muzarai Department,

Bangalore, 190

Dated Bangalore 11th June 1907.

Genl. and Rev Secretariat.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. 5366 dated the 18th May 1907, stating that the Mysore Chief Commissioner's circular No. 30 dated the 26th October 1854 was sent to the Judicial Branch of this office on 26th January 1895, I am directed to state on a reference to the records of this office, that only a copy of the said circular was so sent up. Neither the original circular letter--- nor the file of papers connected therewith are forthcoming in this office. In these circumstances, I am to request that a search may be kindly caused to be instituted in your office and the file if found, may be sent to this office at a very early date.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

GPB—S.000—14-5-07.

For Secretary to Government.

A.V.

Mar 1 4]

190

From

To The Secretary
— Genl & Revenue Departts

Dated

Despatched 20/7/07

Received

General No. 9114
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no J 36093 D 11th June 1907, I am directed to state that on a search made in this Office file it was found that neither the original Cir no 30 D 26th October 1874 re Suits for Patent rights nor the file of papers connected therewith are

GPB—8 000—21-7-03

for the consu

(15-Nov)

Dear Mr Anantasami Rao,

I wish to have any available references that may throw light on the question whether the jurisdiction to ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ to investigate into & decide rights of worship to perform in institutions that are not directly under Govt management or in receipt of allowances

from the State vests in H.M.
ct in the Civil Courts. This is
a very important question
that is now before a full
Bench of the Chief Court; and
I should be much obliged if,
in the event of your Dept. being
in possession of any authorities
(other than judicial decisions)
bearing on the point, you will
kindly ask one of your clerks
to see me with them some time.

during this week

Yours sincerely
Mc Chandrachekhar

P.S. I have no sitting during the rest of this week; so I shall be glad to go over the papers with the clerk ~~after~~ between 3 & 5 Pm on any day at home. Will you kindly excuse trouble?

D.O.

Copied & sent off.
18th Aug '07.

To

K. S Chandrasekhara
Iyer Esqre, B.A.B.L,
Judge, Chief Court,
Bangalore

Dear Mr. Chandrasekhara Iyer,

Please excuse me for not replying to your letter of the 15th instant earlier. In reply to the queries in your therein, I beg to say that in all matters connected with non-Muzrai matters, including the settlement of pujari rights, Govt. have always declined to interfere. Govt. Order No. 652-60, dated 1st December 1897, a printed copy of which is enclosed, clearly explains the views of the Govt.

in this matter

I also send herewith a printed copy of another order No 35-44, dated 8th July 1901, describing the jurisdiction of the Munsiff Courts with reference to the disposal of suits relating to punjam rights. I hope the information now supplied will be of some use to you.

Yours sincerely,

18/8/01